0057895 101656

Distribution

Unit Managers' Meeting: 300 Areas Remedial Action Unit/Source Operable Units

Owen RobertsonMike Thompson	
Mike Goldstein	EPA (B5-01)
Brenda Jentzen	WDOE (Kennewick) (B5-18)
Lynn Albin	Washington Dept. of Health
Gail Laws	Washington Dept. of Health
John April	BHI (L6-06)
Rich Carlson	BHI (H0-17)
Ella Feist	CHI (H9-03)
Frank Corpuz	BHI (H0-17)
Larry Hulstrom	BHI (H9-03)
Lorna Dittmer	
Jessica Kious	
Jeff Lerch	CHI (L6-06)
Walter Remsen	
Jack Donnelly	
Androw Dogoro	
Andrew Rogers	
Michael Wetzler	BHI (H0-17)
	BHI (H0-17)



EDMC

Please inform Michael Wetzler (372-9562) — BHI (H0-17) of deletions or additions to the distribution list.

Meeting Minutes Transmittal/Approval 300 Area Unit Managers' Meeting Remedial Action and Waste Disposal Unit/Source Operable Unit 3350 George Washington Way, Richland, Washington April 2002

APPROVAL: Robert G. McLeod, 300-FF-1 & 300-FF-2 Area Unit Managers	Date	6-20-02 A3-04)
APPROVAL: Kevin Leary, 618-10'& 618-11 Area Unit Manager, RL (A6-38)	Date	6-20-02
APPROVAL: X. Male January Mike Thompson, 300-FF-5 Area Unit Manager, RL (A5-13)	Date	7/30/02
APPROVAL: John B. Price, Cleanup Project Manager, WDOE (B5-18)	Date	7/11/02
APPROVAL: Mike L. Goldstein 300 Aggregate Area Unit Manager, EPA (BS	Date 5-01)	6/19/02

Meeting minutes are attached. Minutes are comprised of the following:							
Attachment 1 Attachment 2 Attachment 3 Attachment 4 Attachment 5	Agenda Attendance Record 300 Area Meeting Minutes – April 16, 2002 Previous Open Action Items List Letters from the Oregon Department of Energy						
			. •				
			·				
Prepared by:	Jenifer L	inville/ Michael W	Vetzler (H0-17)	S	_ Date	7/3/02	
Concurrence by:	Vern Dro BHI Rem	onen, Project Man nedial Action and	ager Waste Disposal	Project (H	Date _	8/1/02	<u>_</u>

UNIT MANAGERS MEETING AGENDA

3350 GWW 1B45 April 16, 2002, 1:30-3:30 p.m.

300 Area

Administrative (1:30 – 2:00)

- Action Item List
- Next UMM is May 21, 2002, 1:30 3:30, 3350 GWW (1B45)

Crossover Items (These items will be discussed at next 100UMM)

- Site Wide Institutional Controls Plan
- TPA Milestone Negotiations (M-16-00B)

300-FF-1 Remedial Action (2:00 - 2:30)

- 618-4 Mobilization Status
- Drum Treatment Technologies
- Spill Reporting

300-FF-2 (2:30 - 3:00)

- 618-11 Benchmarking
- Outside The Fence Design
- RDR/RAWP/SAP
- Kd/Leach Study

300-FF-5 (3:00 - 3:30)

- 300-FF-5 O&M Plan / SAP
- 300 Area Shoreline Study

Meeting Minutes Schedule

- Draft 1 week
- Distribute 1 Day
- Review 1 week
- Incorporate 1 week
- Finalize Next UMM

Remedial Action and Waste Disposal Unit Managers' Meeting Official Attendance Record – 300 Area April 16, 2002

Please print clearly and use black ink

PRINTED NAME	ORGANIZATION	O.U. ROLE	TELEPHONE	
ANDREW ROGERS	BHI	300 FF 1/2	3-5445	
Bob McLeod	DOE	Unit Manager	372-0096	
Ella Coenenburg	CHI	300552	2-9140	
Richard Carlson	BHI	300 -PF- Z	2-9637	
Larry Hulstrom	C HI	300 FF 2	2-9602	
Mike Goldstein	EPA	300-FF-2	376-4919	
Jon Yerxa	DOE	TPA	376-9628	
Frank Conjuz	BH1	300FF1/2	531-0625	
Jeff Lerch	CHI	300FF-1/2	373-5904	
K Michael Thomas	DOE-MC	6h	373-0950	
•	BHI	PEL	372-9565	
FRED ROECK Alex Termour	DOE/RL-RIA	TPA	376-6222	
Pam Doctore	BAI	RISK	372-9/07	
George Kious	ВНІ	RISK	372-9524	
Bryan Folery	DOE-RC	618-10/11	376-7087	
Ted Poston	PINC		3765878	
Grey Patton	PNNC		376-2027	
Ken Cano	BHI		372-9316	
LOGER DIRKES	PNNC	·	376-8177	
Jame Zecolot	RUDOS		372-0198	
	L'			

MEETING MINUTES REMEDIAL ACTION AND WASTE DISPOSAL UNIT MANAGER'S - 300 AREA 3350 GWW CR 1B45, 1:30 – 3:30 p.m. April 16, 2002

ADMINISTRATIVE

Review of Open Action Item List: (Attachment 4)

• No changes or additions were made to the Action Items.

The next UMM is May 14, 2002, 1:30-3:30, 3350 GWW 1B45.

CROSSOVER ITEMS FROM 100 AREA UMM

- Site Wide Institutional Controls Plan: Jim Daily is collecting comments. Ella Coenenberg (ERC) has an action to determine if ERC comments were incorporated.
- TPA Milestone Negotiations (M-16-00B): Comment resolutions are scheduled to be completed by April 30 and then issued. DOE/RL will continue to provide updates to ERC staff. Mike Goldstein (EPA) indicated that a copy of the draft responses should be available by the end of the week (April 16).

300-FF-1

- 618-4 Mobilization Status: The Readiness Review held this morning went well. RL/EPA managers concurred with the ERC project manager to proceed with startup. RL/EPA requested a copy of the handouts from the readiness meeting.
- Drum Treatment Technologies: The revised document is nearing completion and then will be published (Rich Carlson action). Requirements on treatment options should be available in a couple of weeks.
- Spill Reporting: The review of the white paper by Mike Goldstein has yet to be completed.

300-FF-2

• 618-11 Benchmarking: The next meeting is tomorrow morning (April 16) at 8:00 AM (status meeting). It was noted that the team needs to evaluate the value of continuing these benchmarking calls, perhaps to change the frequency and to include staff from FH.

- Outside the Fence Design: Comments from the internal review were being incorporated.
- RDR/RAWP/SAP: A meeting is scheduled following the UMM to discuss comments
 received thus far. Two issues have been identified. The use of composite sampling is
 one issue that will need further discussion with Ecology. The second issue concerns use
 of the new MTCA terrestrial ecological evaluation procedures. Discussions are being
 held with RL/BHI Regulatory and Legal staff and the proposed response will be provided
 to EPA. It was also noted that comments on the draft redline documents were overdue
 from EPA.
- Kd Leach Study: Lab work is on schedule (revised scope/schedule Jan 2002). A draft report is due in mid August. A briefing will be held when more data are available.
- Uranium Conceptual Site Model: The BCP was approved to allow work to begin. The South Process Pond will be used as a test of the model. Concurrence on parameters that will be used for the RESRAD model runs is needed from RL and EPA. Further discussions with the regulators will take place after the initial runs are completed and analyzed. A meeting in early May with RL and EPA was suggested.

300-FF-5

- 300-FF-5 O&M Plan/SAP: The SAP is nearing completion of the RL approval cycle. The O&M Plan is ready for EPA approval.
 - Two letters from the Oregon Department of Energy regarding the 618-11 burial ground were discussed. Both EPA and RL are working on responses to the letters.
- 300 Area Shoreline Study: Ted Poston of PNNL provided a status report on the results of the shoreline sampling that was conducted in conjunction with the Department of Health. A draft report summarizing the shoreline results is being prepared as a joint PNNL and DOH effort. Preliminary results have been factored into the 300-FF-5 O&M Plan and SAP to direct future sampling efforts.

300 Area Unit Manager Meeting Action Items Log

			المدينة المراجع المواضعة			
Ted Posten Preser Study for April UM	ntation on current Shoreline M	Mike Thompson	April UMM	03/19/2002	04/16/2002	





625 Marion St. NE, Suite 1 Salem, OR 97301-3742 Phone: (503) 378-4040 Toll Free: 1-800-221-8035 FAX: (503) 373-7806

www.energy.state.or.us

April 9, 2002

Mr. K. Michael Thompson U.S Department Of Energy P.O. Box 550 MS A5-13 Richland, WA 99352

Subject: Letter 02-GWVZ-007, John Morse to Douglas Sherwood re: Action Item 300-3 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Five-Year Review.

Wilce Dear Mr. Thompson,

The Oregon Office of Energy has reviewed the information supplied in the response to Action Item 300-3 of the CERCLA five-year review and has the following comments for your consideration.

- The document contains no assessment of any potential causes for the sudden and large jump in tritium concentrations emanating from the 618-11 burial ground, nor does it contain any estimates of the likelihood that this event may recur. These parameters would seem to be critically important in determining the schedule for remediating the 618-11 burial ground.
- 2. The report comments that the high Helium-3 to Helium-4 ratios along the north edge of the burial ground are due to a vadose zone source. There is no discussion as to implications of this source for the groundwater.
- 3. The document states that the modeling results which best fit the observed plume geometry indicate a realistic contaminant travel time of 70 to 80 years from the burial ground to the river. Yet, the document also indicates in several places that the plume has traveled about 3100 feet in three and a half years. Simple arithmetic gives a travel time of about 21 years to the river from this data. This apparent discrepancy needs to be explained.
- 4. Attachment 2, page 7, implies that rapid travel times are not consistent with the narrowness of the plume, and the low concentrations seen at boring C3256. However, a narrow plume would be consistent with a rapidly moving plume since the more rapid the plume movement the less time there would be for horizontal dispersion. And, the inconsistencies cited in the report with respect to boring C3256 concentrations would be consistent with the passage of one plume and the arrival of a second. In other words, the 20-year-old plume mentioned is gone and the plume that started in 1999 is now arriving. This possibility also seems to fit well with the "back of the envelope" calculated travel time of 21 years from the burial ground to the river mentioned in comment 3 above. This scenario should be evaluated.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (503)378-4456.

Sincerely.

Douglas S. Huston

Nuclear Safety Technical Specialist

Oregon Office of Energy

Cc: Mr. David Einan - EPA

RECEIVED

APR 1 2 2002 DOE RL/CCC





625 Marion St. NE, Suite 1 Salem, OR 97301-3742 Phone: (503) 378-4040

Toll Free: 1-800-221-8035 FAX: (503) 373-7806

www.energy.state.or.us

April 9, 2002

Dave Einan
Acting Hanford Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
712 Swift Boulevard, Suite 5
Richland, WA 99352

Dear Mr. Einan:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has concluded that the 618-11 burial ground currently poses little health or environmental risk and that annual monitoring is sufficient to track the tritium plume leaking from this burial ground (Letter 02-GWVZ-007, John Morse to Douglas Sherwood: Action Item 300-3 of the CERCLA Five Year Review and supporting documents). Given that it has been only slightly more than two years since we all became aware of problems related to the 618-11 burial ground, we are not yet convinced that enough is known to definitively state that there is no longer reason for concern.

First and foremost, we still do not know the source of the tritium from within the burial ground. Given that we don't know the source of the tritium, other assumptions about the contents of the burial ground are just that — assumptions. We do not, for example, know whether we will have other releases from the burial ground, perhaps involving even higher levels of tritium or some other longer-lived radionuclides. Despite the efforts to model the spread of the plume, we do not know with any level of certainty how long it will take for the current tritium plume to reach the Columbia River, nor what impacts it may have when it does.

Because of these concerns, we request the Environmental Protection Agency require DOE to do the following:

- Continue efforts to more fully identify the contents of the burial ground through a document search.
- Explore potential technology and methods to obtain samples from within the burial ground.
- Conduct groundwater sampling on a quarterly basis rather than an annual basis

 in the vicinity of the burial ground, for at least the next three years, to ensure
 that the assumptions DOE is making about the existing plume are verified by
 monitoring data, and that no new plumes are allowed to spread for as long as a
 year without knowledge of the event.

RECEIVED

APR 1 5 2002 DOE RL/CCC April 9, 2002 Page 2

We also request that EPA reconsider whether an improved cap is necessary for the burial ground, given that remediation is not expected to begin for more than a decade and that the existing cover material is roughly equivalent only to a RCRA "D" cover.

We appreciate your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Ken Niles, Administrator Nuclear Safety Division

c.c. John Morse, DOE

Mike Wilson, Ecology

Todd Martin, Hanford Advisory Board